PAGES 1 - 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAUREL BEELER

SARAH BRAGA AND MATTHEW COTTONE,

PLAINTIFFS,

VS.

NO. C 15-1145-DMR (LB)

INTERSEC INTERACTIVE, INC., AND
DOES 1-25,

DEFENDANTS.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
WEDNESDAY
MARCH 9, 2016

AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND

RECORDING 4:56 P.M. - 5:04 P.M.

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS HOYER & HICKS

FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER

SUITE 1400

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111

BY: RICHARD A. HOYER, ESQUIRE

FOR DEFENDANTS KAREN FULLER TYNAN, ESQUIRE

1083 VINE STREET

SUITE 201

HEALDSBURG, CALIFORNIA 95448

(FURTHER APPEARANCES ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

REPORTED BY: JOAN MARIE COLUMBINI, CSR #5435, RPR RETIRED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, USDC

LSO PRESENT:	SARAH BRAGA, MATTHEW COTTONE, KEN OLSEN, ASCENZA M. MONTALBANO, PHILIP W. MONTALBANO, DANIEL INTRAUB, BRENT SCOTT
	DIMIT SOUT

1	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 9, 2016 4:56 P.M.
2	(TRANSCRIBER'S NOTE: DUE AT TIMES TO COUNSEL'S FAILURE TO
3	IDENTIFY THEMSELVES WHEN SPEAKING, CERTAIN SPEAKER
4	ATTRIBUTIONS ARE BASED ON EDUCATED GUESS.)
5	
6	PROCEEDINGS
7	000
8	
9	THE CLERK: OKAY, EVERYONE. WE'RE ON THE RECORD.
10	THE HONORABLE LAUREL BEELER PRESIDING.
11	THIS IS ON THE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE IN CIVIL ACTION
12	15-1145 BRAGA, ET AL. VERSUS INTERSEC INTERACTIVE, INC.
13	COUNSEL, PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCES FOR THE
14	RECORD.
15	MR. HOYER: RICHARD HOYER ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS AND
16	CROSS-DEFENDANTS.
17	THE COURT: AND IF YOU COULD STATE YOUR CLIENTS
18	APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD, TOO, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
19	MR. HOYER: WITH ME ARE SARAH BRAGA AND MATTHEW
20	COTTONE, PLAINTIFFS.
21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
22	MS. TYNAN: YES, MA'AM. KAREN TYNAN FOR DEFENDANTS
23	AND CROSS-COMPLAINANTS, INTERSEC INTERACTIVE. AND PRESENT
24	BRENT SCOTT AND DANIEL INTRAUB, AS WELL AS THE FINANCIAL
25	ADVISERS FOR THE COMPANY.

THE COURT: GREAT. THE PARTIES HAVE REACHED A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.

1.3

I'M GOING TO RECITE THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT

AGREEMENT THAT WE'VE REACHED. I'M GOING TO ASK THE LAWYERS IF

I MISSED SOMETHING AFTER I GET ALL THE WAY THROUGH IT, AND THEN

THE LAWYERS WILL LET ME KNOW IF I'VE DONE THAT.

AFTER THAT, I'LL THEN ASK THE PARTIES IF THEY
UNDERSTAND AND ACCEPT THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT. SO THAT'S
THE PROCESS.

THE SETTLEMENT TERMS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

THE TOTAL PAYMENT WILL BE \$175,000. THAT'S THE TOTAL AMOUNT. SO IT'S AN ALL-IN AMOUNT. SO THE PARTIES WILL BEAR THEIR OWN FEES AND COSTS. THERE'S NO ADDITIONAL AMOUNT BEYOND THE 175,000.

\$40,000 WILL BE PAYABLE IMMEDIATELY. THAT WILL REQUIRE A W-9 AND WIRING INSTRUCTIONS FROM MR. HOYER, WHO WILL DO THAT TOMORROW, AND THEN THEREAFTER THE WIRE, THE FINANCIAL ADVISERS WILL SEND THE WIRE FROM THE BANK ACCOUNT PER THE INSTRUCTIONS. WE WILL ALSO NEED W-4S FROM MS. BRAGA AND MR. COTTONE.

AND THE OTHER ISSUE IS THE ALLOCATION -- SO 40,000

PAYABLE IMMEDIATELY. THE BALANCE, WHICH WOULD BE \$135,000,

WILL BE PAYABLE IN 90 DAYS WITHIN -- AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, BUT

REALLY WITH AN OUTSIDE TIME PERIOD OF ABOUT A WEEK.

YOU'RE GOING TO PROVIDE THE BREAK DOWN, ESSENTIALLY,

1 FOR THE W-4S OF THE BALANCE TO BE PAID. AND THAT IS THE 2 MONETARY AMOUNT.

THE RELEASE -- THE NAMED PARTIES ARE THE PLAINTIFFS,

AND ALSO THE ONLY NAMED DEFENDANT IS INTERSEC INTERACTIVE, INC.

THE SCOPE OF THE RELEASE WILL COVER BRENT SCOTT AND DANIEL

INTRAUB, TOO. IT BE WILL BE A MUTUAL GENERAL RELEASE THAT

RELEASES ANY AND ALL CLAIMS, KNOWN AND UNKNOWN, RELATED TO THE

SUBJECT CAUSE OF ACTION. THAT IS THE RELEASE.

THERE WILL BE A CONFIDENTIALITY PROVISION WHICH

MS. TYNAN WILL READ INTO THE RECORD. THE LAWYERS TALKED ABOUT

THIS. WHY DON'T YOU JUST RECITE THE CONFIDENTIALITY PROVISION,

WHICH IS STANDARD IN THESE EMPLOYMENT CASES.

MS. TYNAN: CONFIDENTIALITY OF PAYMENT: EXCEPT TO
THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY LAW, TO SUBMIT A TAX RETURN OR
COMPLIANCE WITH A LAWFUL SUBPOENA, THE PARTIES WARRANT THAT
THEY WILL NOT DISCLOSE ANY OF THE TERMS OF THIS RELEASE FOR ANY
REASON TO ANY PERSON, OTHER THAN TO ATTORNEYS OR ACCOUNTANTS
FOR TAX PURPOSES, WHO SHALL BE INFORMED OF AND BOUND BY THE
SAME PROMISE OF CONFIDENTIALITY.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO THAT'S THE CONFIDENTIALITY PROVISION.

AND WE'LL SAY AT THIS POINT THAT THE WHOLE -- EVEN
THOUGH THE MATERIAL TERMS WILL BE ON THE RECORD, IT WILL THUS
BE A BINDING AND ENFORCEABLE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. THE PARTIES
DO CONTEMPLATE HAVING A FINAL WRITTEN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THERE WILL BE A NON-DISPARAGEMENT CLAUSE, A MUTUAL NON-DISPARAGEMENT CLAUSE, MEANING NO CRITICAL, DEROGATORY, OR DISPARAGING COMMENTS ABOUT THE -- MADE ABOUT THE ALLEGATIONS MADE ABOUT DANIEL INTRAUB, THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE TERMINATION, AND THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS. AND THIS NON-DISPARAGEMENT CLAUSE WOULD APPLY TO THE PARTIES. THE RELEASE -- PEOPLE WHO ARE PART OF THE SCOPE OF RELEASE, WHICH WOULD INCLUDE MR. SCOTT AND MR. INTRAUB AND AGENTS, AND ANY OF THEIR AGENTS. SO THAT WOULD BE THE SCOPE OF THE NON-DISPARAGEMENT CLAUSE. I WILL RETAIN -- THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED THAT I WILL RETAIN JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. WRITTEN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WILL SAY THAT. IT BASICALLY STOPS PEOPLE FROM HAVING TO FILE A NEW LAWSUIT TO ENFORCE THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. IN YOUR STIPULATED DISMISSAL, WHICH, ULTIMATELY, YOU'LL FILE, YOU WILL PUT IN THERE THAT THE PARTIES CONSENT TO MY RETAINING JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.

IT JUST MAKES EVERYBODY'S LIFE EASIER.

AND, IN ANY EVENT, THIS IS ON THE RECORD SO IF YOU FORGET, IT'S HERE, BUT IT'S JUST EASIER NOT TO HAVE TO DO IT.

THERE WILL ALSO BE AN ENFORCEMENT -- A PROVISION REGARDING ENFORCING THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WHICH ALSO COVERS THE NON-DISPARAGEMENT CLAUSE, MEANING IF SOMEONE HAS TO BRING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AN ACTION TO, OR TO ENFORCE THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, THE PREVAILING PARTY WILL BE ENTITLED TO REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES, BUT THERE WILL BE A BUILT-IN ADR OPTION THAT ALLOWS ME TO RESOLVE ANY DISPUTES INFORMALLY. THE REALITY IS THAT AFTER THE PAYMENT IS MADE, WHICH WILL BE IN 90 DAYS, THAT THE ONLY ISSUE WILL BE THE NON-DISPARAGEMENT. AND, AS I SAID, EVERYBODY HAS A MUTUAL INTEREST IN GOING FORWARD. SO I HAVE EVERY CONFIDENCE THAT I WON'T NEED TO HELP YOU. BUT THAT OPTION REMAINS FOR YOU. AND ALL OF THAT IS IN RETURN FOR DISMISSAL OF THE CASE WITH PREJUDICE AND THE MUTUAL WAIVER OF COSTS. EVERYBODY BEARS THEIR OWN COSTS AND FEES. SO WITH THAT, I THINK I SUMMARIZED THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. MR. HOYER, FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE, HAVE I MISSED ANYTHING? MR. HOYER: NO, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD JUST CLARIFY --I THINK YOU MAY HAVE MISSPOKEN. THE NON-DISPARAGEMENT CLAUSE WITH RESPECT TO MY CLIENTS COVERS THE COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST THE CROSS-DEFENDANTS, NOT THE DEFENDANTS. THE COURT: RIGHT. COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST THE CROSS-DEFENDANTS, YES. MR. HOYER: OTHERWISE, IT'S PERFECT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD. ALL RIGHT. FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE?

1	MS. TYNAN: I AGREE ALSO, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: OKAY. SO WITH THOSE, WE HAVE THE TERMS
3	OF THE SETTLEMENT ON THE RECORD.
4	I'LL ASK YOU FIRST, MS. BRAGA, THROUGH PLAINTIFF'S
5	FIRST, AND THEN YOU, MR. INTRAUB, AS THE CLIENT REPRESENTATIVE.
6	SO, MS. BRAGA, DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE TERMS OF THE
7	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT THAT I JUST RECITED TO YOU?
8	MS. BRAGA: I DO.
9	THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IF YOU ACCEPT THE
10	TERMS, IT ENDS THE CASE AND YOU CAN'T REOPEN IT?
11	MS. BRAGA: YES.
12	THE COURT: DO YOU ACCEPT THE TERMS OF THE
13	SETTLEMENT?
14	MS. BRAGA: YES.
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. COTTONE, DO YOU
16	UNDERSTAND THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT THAT I JUST RECITED FOR
17	YOU?
18	MR. COTTONE: I DO.
19	THE COURT: AND DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IF YOU ACCEPT
20	THE TERMS, IT ENDS THE CASE AND YOU CAN'T REOPEN IT?
21	MR. COTTONE: I DO.
22	THE COURT: DO YOU ACCEPT THE TERMS OF THE
23	SETTLEMENT?
24	MR. COTTONE: I DO.
25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, MR. INTRAUB, YOU'RE UP AS

1	THE CLIENT REPRESENTATIVE. DO YOU HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT
2	THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE
3	CORPORATION?
4	MR. INTRAUB: YES, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE TERMS OF THE
6	SETTLEMENT THAT I'VE JUST RECITED TO YOU?
7	MR. INTRAUB: YES, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IF YOU ACCEPT THE
9	TERMS, IT ENDS THE CASE AND YOU CAN'T REOPEN IT?
10	MR. INTRAUB: YES, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: AND DO YOU ACCEPT THE TERMS OF THE
12	SETTLEMENT?
13	MR. INTRAUB: YES, YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. FOR THE LAWYERS, ON BEHALF OF
15	YOUR CLIENTS, DO YOU ALSO JOIN AND ACCEPT THE TERMS OF THE
16	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT?
17	MR. HOYER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
18	MS. TYNAN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WITH THAT WE HAVE THE
20	MATERIAL TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ON THE RECORD, AND
21	THE AGREEMENT IS NOW BINDING AND ENFORCEABLE. WE'LL NOW GO OFF
22	THE RECORD.
23	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 5:04 P.M.)
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT, TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY, OF THE ABOVE PAGES OF
THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING PROVIDED TO ME BY THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OF THE
PROCEEDINGS TAKEN ON THE DATE AND TIME PREVIOUSLY STATED IN THE
ABOVE MATTER.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER COUNSEL FOR,

RELATED TO, NOR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THE ACTION IN

WHICH THIS HEARING WAS TAKEN; AND, FURTHER, THAT I AM NOT

FINANCIALLY NOR OTHERWISE INTERESTED IN THE OUTCOME OF THE

ACTION.

Incolumbini
JOAN MARIE COLUMBINI

JULY 8, 2016